arXiv:1212.5075v1 [math.AG] 20 Dec 2012

FANO-MORI CONTRACTIONS OF HIGH LENGTH ON PROJECTIVE VARIETIES WITH TERMINAL SINGULARITIES

MARCO ANDREATTA AND LUCA TASIN

Abstract. Let X be a projective variety with terminal singularities and let L be an ample Cartier divisor on X.

We prove that if f is a birational contraction associated to an extremal ray $R \subset \overline{NE(X)}$ such that $R.(K_X + (n-2)L) < 0$ then f is a weighted blow-up of a smooth point.

We then classify divisorial contractions associated to extremal rays R such that $R.(K_X + rL) < 0$, where r is a non-negative rational number, and the fibres of f have dimension less or equal to $r + 1$.

1. Introduction

Let X be a normal projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ with at most terminal singularities and let $n = \dim X$.

We consider the Kleiman-Mori cone of X, $\overline{NE(X)}$, which is the closure of the cone generated by effective curves modulo numerical equivalence in $N_1(X, \mathbb{R})$. By the famous Cone Theorem of Mori and Kawamata (see Theorem (3.7.1) in [KM98]) the subcone $\overline{NE(X)}_{K_X<0} := \{C \in \overline{NE(X)} : K_X.C < 0\}$ is locally polyhedral. By the Contraction Theorem of Mori, Kawamata and Shokurov, to any extremal ray in $\overline{NE(X)}_{K_X<0}$ is associated a map (contraction) $f : X \to Z$ with connected fibres onto a normal projective variety Z, which contracts all curves in the ray (see Theorem (3.7.2) in [KM98]).

Choose now a *polarization* of X, that is an ample Cartier divisor L on X. Let r be a non-negative rational number. One can consider the subcone $\overline{NE(X)}_{(K_X+rL)<0} \subset \overline{NE(X)}_{K_X<0}$. This cone is generated by a finite number of extremal rays (see Theorem (3.7.3) in [KM98]). If $r > n$ the cone is actually empty and if r approaches 0 the cone fills up all $\overline{NE(X)}_{K_X<0}$.

In a previous paper ([An11]), the first author described all extremal rays contained in the cone $\overline{NE(X)}_{(K_X+(n-2)L)<0}$: this summarizes and generalizes a series of results, by many authors, of the so called Adjunction Theory (see also [BS95]).

The aim of the present paper is first to complete the description of the extremal rays $R = \mathbb{R}^+[C]$ contained in the cone $\overline{NE(X)}_{(K_X+(n-2)L)<0}$ whose associated contractions are birational. In [An11] it was simply proved that the associated contraction contracts a divisor to a smooth point, here we prove the following.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E30, 14J40, 14N30.

Key words and phrases. Extremal rays, Contractions, Terminal singularities.

We like to thank Edoardo Ballico, Cristiano Bocci, Paolo Cascini, Massimiliano Mella e Roberto Pignatelli for helpful conversations.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a normal projective variety with at most terminal singularities and let L be an ample Cartier divisor on X. Let R be an extremal ray in $\overline{NE(X)}_{(K_X+(n-2)L)<0}$ and let $f : X \to Z$ be its associated contraction. Assume that f is birational. Then f is a weighted blow-up of a smooth point with weight $(1, 1, b, \dots, b)$, where b is a positive integer.*

Note that for $n = 3$ the Theorem follows from the results in [An11] and the main Theorem in [Ka01]; our proof is however independent of [Ka01].
The Theorem is proved by induction on n, starting with case $n = 2$, which is Castelnuovo contraction Theorem for (-1)-curves on a (smooth) surface. The inductive step is achieved by the usual procedure of Adjunction Theory (the so called Horizontal slicing described in Theorem 2.3), once one has a *good divisor* in the linear system $|L|$. The existence of such a divisor, i.e. a divisor which has at most terminal singularities, is proved in the paper [AW93].

Secondly we will insert the above Theorem in a more general statement regarding a ray $R = \mathbb{R}^+[C]$ contained in the cone $\overline{NE(X)}_{(K_X+rL)<0}$, with $r > 0$, whose associated contraction is divisorial (i.e. it is birational with exceptional locus a divisor) with all fibres of dimension less or equal to $r + 1$. That is we prove the following Theorem, which is a generalization of Theorem 4.9 in [KM92] (see also Theorem 3.2 in [An95]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a normal projective variety with at most terminal singularities and let L be an ample Cartier divisor on X. Let R be an extremal ray in $\overline{NE(X)}_{(K_X+rL)<0}$ where $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $r \geq 0$, and let $f : X \to Z$ be its associated contraction. Assume that f is divisorial and that all (nontrivial) fibres have dimension less or equal to $r + 1$. Let E be the exceptional locus and $C := f(E) \subset Z$.*
Then there is a closed subset $S \subset Z$ of codimension al least 3 such that $Z' = Z - S$ and $C' = C - S$ are smooth and $f' : X' = X - f^{-1}(S) \to Z'$ is a weighted blow-up along C' with weight $\sigma = (1, 1, b \dots, b, 0, \dots, 0)$, where the number of b's is $\lfloor r \rfloor$.
Let I' be a σ-weighted ideal for $Z' \subset X'$ (see Definitions 3.1 and 3.2) and let $i : Z' \to Z$ be the inclusion; let also $I := i_*(I')$ and $I^{(m)}$ be the m-th symbolic power of I (see Definition 3.8).
Then $X = \mathrm{Proj}_X \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} I^{(m)}$.

2. Contractions

In this section we recall some pertinent definitions and results which are used in the sequel. Our language is compatible with that of [KM98].
We denote by $\overline{NE(X)}$ the Kleiman-Mori cone of X, i.e. the closure of the cone generated by effective curves modulo numerical equivalence in $N_1(X, \mathbb{R})$. Let H be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X, we denote by $\overline{NE(X)}_{H<0}$ the subcone $\{C \in \overline{NE(X)} : H.C < 0\}$.
A *contraction* is a surjective morphism, $f : Y \to T$, between normal varieties and with with connected fibres.
If $\dim Y > \dim T$ the contraction is said to be of fibre type, otherwise it is birational. The set $E = \{y \in Y : f \text{ is not an isomorphism at } y\}$ is the exceptional locus of f. If f is birational and $\delta = \dim Y - 1$ then it is also called *a divisorial contraction*; if f is birational and $\delta \leq \dim Y - 2$ then it is called a *small contraction*. For a

contraction $f : Y \to T$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor H such that $H = \varphi^*(A)$ for some ample $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on T is called a *supporting divisor* for the contraction.

Mori theory studies special type of contractions associated to extremal rays in the negative part of the Kleiman-Mori cone.

Theorem 2.1 (Contraction theorem)**.** *(see Theorem 3.7 (3) in* [KM98]*) Let X be a variety with log-terminal singularities and let $R \subset \overline{NE(X)}_{K_X<0}$ be an extremal ray.*
Then there exists a unique projective morphism $\varphi : X \to W$ onto a normal projective variety W which is characterised by the following properties

i) *For any irreducible curve $C \subset X$, $\varphi(C)$ is a point if and only if $[C] \in R$,*
ii) *φ has connected fibres.*

We will call such contraction the Fano-Mori (F-M) contraction associated to R; note that $-K_X$ is φ-ample.

In studying the contraction associated to an extremal ray R it makes sense to fix a fibre and understand the contraction locally, i.e. restricting to an affine neighbourhood of the image of the fixed fibre. The complete contraction can then be obtained by gluing different local descriptions.
For this we use the local set-up developed by Andreatta–Wiśniewski, see [AW93]. Roughly summarizing, let $f : Y \to T$ be a contraction; fix a fibre F of f and take an open affine $Z \subset T$ such that $f(F) \in S$ and $\dim f^{-1}(s) \leq \dim F$, for $z \in Z$. Let $X = f^{-1}Z$ then $f : X \to Z$ will be called a *local contraction around* F. If there is no need to specify fixed fibres then we will simply say that $f : X \to Z$ is a local contraction. In particular $Z = \mathrm{Spec}(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$.

Let L be an ample Cartier divisor and let $R \subset \overline{NE(X)}_{(K_X+rL)<0}$, for $r \geq 0$. Consider the associated contraction and a fibre F of it; let us pass to the local set up, i.e. let $\varphi : X \to Z$ be the local contraction around F associated to R. Then L is assumed to be simply φ-ample.
We can define the *nef value* of the pair (X, L) as

$$\tau(X, L) := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : K_X + tL \text{ is } \varphi\text{-nef}\}.$$

By the rationality theorem of Kawamata (Theorem 3.5 in [KM98]), $\tau(X, L)$ is a rational non-negative number.
The assumption $R \subset \overline{NE(X)}_{(K_X+rL)<0}$ implies that $\tau > r$.
Moreover note that the $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor $K_X + \tau L$ is a supporting divisor of φ.

The following are two Bertini type theorems.

Theorem 2.2 ([AW93], Lemma 2.5)**.** *(Vertical slicing) Let $\varphi : X \to Z$ be a local contraction supported by $K_X + \tau L$, with $\tau \geq -1 + \varepsilon\gamma(\varphi)$ and ε a sufficiently small positive rational number. Assume that X has LT singularities and let h be a general function on Z. Let $X_h = \varphi^*(h)$ then the singularities of X_h are not worse than those of X and any section of L on X_h extends to X.*

Theorem 2.3 ([AW93], Lemma 2.6)**.** *(Horizontal slicing) Let $\varphi : X \to Z$ be a local contraction around $\{F\}$ supported by $K_X+\tau L$. Let $H_i \in |L|$ be generic divisors and $X_k = \cap_1^k H_i$ be a scheme theoretic intersection; assume that* $\dim X_k = n-k > 0$ *and that $(\tau - k) \geq 0$; note that since X_k is a complete intersection it is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein, i.e. K_{X_k} is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.*

i) *Let* $\varphi_{|X_k} = g \circ \varphi_k$ *be the Stein factorisation of* $\varphi_{|X_k} : X_k \to Z$*; then* $\varphi_k : X_k \to Z_k$ *is a proper morphism with connected fibres, around* $\{F \cap (\cap_1^k H_i)\}$*, supported by* $K_{X_k} + (\tau - k)L_{|X_k}$ *and* Z_k *is affine. In particular, if* X_k *is normal then* φ_k *is a local contraction.*

Assume that X *has LT singularities and, if* ε *is a sufficiently small positive rational number, that* $\tau \geq \varepsilon\gamma(\varphi)$ *and* $k \leq r + 1 - \varepsilon\gamma(\varphi)$*.*

ii) *Outside of* $Bsl|L|$ X_k *has singularities which are of the same type of the ones of* X *and any section of* L *on* X_k *extends to a section of* L *on* X*.*

We have a lower bound for the dimension of a fibre of a F-M contraction.

Theorem 2.4 ([An95], Theorem 2.1)**.** *Let* $\varphi : X \to Z$ *be a local contraction around* F*, supported by* $K_X + \tau L$*.*
Then $\dim F \geq (\tau - 1)$*.*
If moreover φ *is birational then* $\dim F \geq \tau$*.*

The following base point free theorem is the main technical tool of the paper.

Theorem 2.5 ([AW93])**.** *Let* $\varphi : X \to Z$ *be a local contraction around* $\{F\}$ *supported by* $K_X + \tau L$*.*
If $\dim F < \tau + 1$ *then* L *is* φ*-very ample.*
If φ *is birational and* $\dim F \leq \tau + 1$ *then* L *is* φ*-very ample*

3. Weighted blow-ups

In the first part of this section we recall the definition of weighted blow-up along a smooth subvariety. Our notation is compatible with that of Section 10 in [KM92] and of Section 3 in [Ha09].
Let $X = \mathbb{A}^n = \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $Z = \{x_1 = \ldots = x_k = 0\} \subset X$. Let $\sigma = (a_1, \ldots, a_k, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $a_i > 0$ and $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = 1$. Let $M = \mathrm{lcm}(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$. We denote with $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ the weighted projective space with weight $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$.
Consider the rational map

$$\varphi : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$$

given by $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1^{a_1} : \ldots : x_k^{a_k})$.

Definition 3.1. The *weighted blow-up* of X along Z with weight σ, $\overline{X}$, is defined as the closure in $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ of the graph of φ, together with the morphism $\pi : \overline{X} \to X$ given by the projection on the first factor.

The map π is birational and contracts an exceptional irreducible divisor E to Z. Moreover ME is a Cartier divisor and for any point $z \in Z$ we have $\pi^{-1}(z) = \mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$.

Let $(y_1 : \ldots : y_k)$ be homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$. For any $1 \leq i \leq k$ consider the open affine subset $U_i = \overline{X} \cap \{y_i \neq 0\}$ and the morphism $h : \mathbb{A}^n := \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{C}[\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n] \to U_i$ given by

$$(\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n) \mapsto (\bar{x}_1\bar{x}_i^{a_1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_i^{a_i}, \ldots, \bar{x}_k\bar{x}_i^{a_k}, \bar{x}_{k+1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_n, \bar{x}_1, \ldots, 1, \ldots, \bar{x}_k).$$

This map passes to the quotient giving the following isomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &\cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n]/\mathbb{Z}_{a_i}(-a_1, \ldots, 1, \ldots, -a_k, 0, \ldots, 0) \\ &\cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n]/\mathbb{Z}_{a_i}(-a_1, \ldots, 1, \ldots, -a_k) \times \mathbb{A}^{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

In the affine set U_i, E is defined by $\{\bar{x}_i = 0\}$.

We define the function

$$\sigma\text{-wt} : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{N}$$

as follows. On a monomial $M = x_1^{s_1} \ldots x_n^{s_n}$ we put $\sigma\text{-wt}(M) := \sum_{i=1}^k s_i a_i$; for a general $f = \sum_I \alpha_I M_I$, where $\alpha_I \in \mathbb{C}$ and M_I are monomials, we set

$$\sigma\text{-wt} := \min\{\sigma\text{-wt}(M_I) : \alpha_I \neq 0\}.$$

Definition 3.2. The σ-weighted ideal I is defined as:

$$I = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] : \sigma\text{-wt}(g) \geq M\} = (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : \sum_{j=1}^k s_j a_j \geq M).$$

Proposition 3.3. *The weighted blow-up of $X = \mathbb{A}^n$ along $Z = \{x_1 = \ldots = x_k = 0\}$ with weight σ, $\pi : \overline{X} \to X$ defined above, is the blow-up of X along the ideal I. That is*

$$\overline{X} = \text{Proj}_X \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} I^d.$$

Proof. Since $-ME$ is an ample Cartier divisor, by (8.8.1.3) of [EGA II], we have that

$$X = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(-dME).$$

Therefore the Proposition follows from the next two lemmata.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\pi : \overline{X} \to X = \mathbb{A}^n$ be as in Proposition 3.3 Then*

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(-dE) = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] : \sigma\text{-}wt(g) \geq d\}.$$

Proof. As E is effective we have that $J := \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(-dE) \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is an ideal. A polynomial $g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is an element of J if and only if for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ we have that $g(\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n) \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X(-dE))$. Since E in the affine set U_i is defined by $\{\bar{x}_i = 0\}$ the Lemma follows noting that $\bar{x}_i^d | g(\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n)$ if and only if σ-wt$(g) \geq d$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\pi : \overline{X} \to X = \mathbb{A}^n$ be as in Proposition 3.3 Then*

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(-dME) = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(-ME)^d,$$

that is

$$I^d = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] : \sigma\text{-}wt(g) \geq d\}.$$

Proof. We prove that for every $d \geq 1$ the natural map

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)ME) \bigotimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(-ME) \to \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(-dME)$$

is surjective, the Lemma follows then straightforward.

The proof of this fact will be by induction on d, $d = 1$ being obvious.

By Lemma 3.4 we have the following explict description:

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(-dME) = (x_1^{s_1}\cdots x_n^{s_n} : \sum_{j=1}^{k} s_j a_j \geq dM).$$

An element $g = x_1^{s_1}\cdots x_n^{s_n} \in \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(-dME)$ is a tensor product of an element $h = x_1^{t_1}\cdots x_n^{t_n} \in \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(-ME)$ with an element in $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)ME)$ if the following claim is true.

Claim 3.6. *Given* $(a_1,\dots,a_k,0\dots,0),(s_1,\dots,s_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$*, with* $a_i > 0$*, and* d *an integer with* $d \geq 2$*, let* $M = lcm(a_1,\dots,a_k)$*. Assume that* $\sum_{j=1}^k s_j a_j \geq dM$*, then there exists* $(t_1,\dots,t_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ *such that* $\sum_{j=1}^k t_j a_j = M$ *and* $t_j \leq s_j$ *for all* $1 \leq j \leq n$*.*

We prove the claim again by induction, this time on $i = \#I$, where $I = \{s_j : s_j > 0,\ 1 \leq j \leq k\}$. For $k+1 \leq j \leq n$ we simply set $t_j := s_j$.
If $i = 1$ it's trivial, so suppose $i > 1$. If there is $1 \leq j \leq i$ such that $s_j a_j \geq M$ then we are again easily done. Otherwise we have that $\sum_{i=1, i\neq j}^k s_i a_i \geq (d-1)M$; the result follows then by induction. □

□

Lemma 3.7. *Let* $\pi : \overline{X} \to X$ *be the weighted blow-up of* $X = \mathbb{A}^n$ *along* $Z = \{x_1 = \ldots = x_k = 0\}$ *with weight* $\sigma = (1,1,b\dots,b,0,\dots,0)$ *(where the number of* b*'s is* $k-2$*). Then* $\overline{X}$ *has at most terminal singularities.*

Proof. By the above description of the open subsets $U_i \subset \overline{X}$ we must show that a cyclic quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{b}(b-1,b-1,1,0,\dots,0)$ is terminal. By Reid's Criterium [[Re87], Thm. 4.11] this correspond to check that

$$\frac{1}{b}(b-k+b-k+k) > 1 \quad \text{for } k = 1,\dots,b-1,$$

which is obvious.

We now define the symbolic powers of an ideal and we check that σ-weighted ideals behave well respect to symbolic powers.

Definition 3.8. Given an ideal $I \subset R$ in a Noetherian ring R, the t-th symbolic power $I^{(t)}$ of I for $t \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined as the restriction of $I^t R_S$ to R, where S is the complement of the union of the minimal associated primes of I and R_S is the localization of R at the multiplicative system S.

If I is a prime ideal the definition is for instance in Exercise 4.13 of [AM69]. Note that by definition $I^t \subset I^{(t)}$, but in general the inclusion might be strict.

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $\sigma = (a_1,\dots,a_k,0\dots,0) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ *such that* $a_i > 0$ *and* $\gcd(a_1,\dots,a_k) = 1$*; let* $M = lcm(a_1,\dots,a_k)$*. Consider the* σ*-weighted ideal*

$$I = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n] : \sigma\text{-}wt(g) \geq M\} \subset R = \mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n].$$

For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ *we have* $I^t = I^{(t)}$*.*

Proof. We will use the fact that if$f, g \in R$ then $\sigma\text{-wt}(fg) = \sigma\text{-wt}(f) + \sigma\text{-wt}(g)$.
We start showing that I is primary: if $fg \in I$ then $\sigma\text{-wt}(f) \geq 1$ or $\sigma\text{-wt}(g) \geq 1$ and hence $f^m \in I$ or $g^m \in I$ for m big enough. Then the only prime associate to I is its radical ideal $r(I)$, which is $r(I) = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ since there is always a power of x_i in I for $1 \leq i \leq k$.
Let now $S = R - r(I)$. By Proposition 3.11 in [AM69] we have $I^{(t)} = \bigcup_{s \in S}(I^t : s)$. Using Lemma 3.5 and the fact that $\sigma\text{-wt}(s) = 0$ we have that for any $s \in S$

$$(I^t : s) = \{g \in R : \sigma\text{-wt}(gs) \geq t\} = I^t.$$

□

The above definition of weighted blow-up is a local one; how to extend it to a global definition is a delicate matter. Let $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $a_i > 0$ and $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$; let $M = \mathrm{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$.

Definition 3.10. Let X be a variety and Z a smooth subvariety of codimension k in the regular locus of X. A *weighted blow-up* of X along Z with weight σ is the blow-up

$$\pi : \overline{X} = \mathrm{Proj}_X \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} I^d \to X$$

along an ideal sheaf I on X such that there is an atlas on X such that at every point of X there are coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ in this atlas for which Z is defined by $x_1, \dots, x_k$ and $I = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] : \sigma\text{-wt}(g) \geq M\}$.
We call I a *σ-weighted ideal* for Z in X.

Remark 3.11. Let $Z \subset X$ be a smooth subvariety of codimension k in the regular locus of a variety X; let also $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, \dots, 0)$ be a weight. The question about the existence of a *σ-weighted ideal* for Z in X, and therefore of a weighted blow-up of X along Z with weight σ, is not clear. In general it seems a difficult problem to find sufficient conditions for having a positive answer. On the other side, for instance, if $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a complete intersection then for any weight σ there exists a weighted blow-up along Z with weight σ.

4. Proof of the main theorems

We start proving Theorem 1.1.
We can assume that $f : X \to Z$ is a local F-M contraction supported by $K_X + \tau L$, where τ is the nef value of the pair (X, L), a positive rational number greater than $(n-2)$. Let F be a component of a nontrivial fibre $F_1 = f^{-1}(p)$. By 2.4 we see that $\dim F \geq \tau > n-2$, so f is the contraction of an irreducible divisor E to a point p.

Since f is a K_X-negative contraction, Z is terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial (see [KM98, Corollary 3.43]). Proposition 3.6 of [An11] says that p is smooth. For reader's convenience let us repeat the proof, by induction on $n \geq 2$. If $n = 2$, X is smooth and in this case we can apply the Castelnuovo contraction Theorem which says that f is the contraction of a (-1)-curve. Let $n \geq 3$ and pick a general member $X' \in |L|$: by Theorem 2.5 and Bertini theorem it has terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities. Consider the restricted morphism $f' := f_{|X'} : X' \to Z'$; by Theorem 2.3 it is a divisorial contraction supported by $K_{X'} + (\tau - 1)L_{|X'}$. By inductive assumption

$p \in Z'$ is smooth and by Lemma [Me97, Lemma 1.7] we conclude that $p \in Z$ is smooth.
Moreover $L_1 = f_* L$ is a Cartier divisor and we may write $L = f^* L_1 - bE$ where b is a positive integer.

If $n = 2$, E is the exceptional curve and we have

$$0 = (K_X + \tau L).E = (K_X - \tau bE).C = -1 + \tau b,$$

hence $\tau = 1/b$.
By induction we get the formula

$$K_X = f^*(K_Z) + ((n-2)b + 1)E.$$

Let $X' \in |L|$ be, as above, a general element with terminal singularities. We have proved that Z and $Z' = f_*(X')$ are smooth at p. Since f is local we can assume that $Z = \mathbb{A}^n = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are local coordinates for p, and that $f_*(X') = \{x_n = 0\}$.
Note that $\mathcal{O}_X(-bE)$ is a f-ample invertible sheaf and that the map f is proper; so, by (8.8.1.3) of [EGA II], we know that

$$X = \operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\oplus_{d \geq 0} I_d),$$

where $I_d := f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(-dbE))$.
By Proposition 3.3 X will be the weighted blow-up we are looking for if

$$I_d = (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n} bs_j \geq db).$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-L - dbE) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-dbE) \to \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-dbE) \to 0.$$

Note that

$$-L - dbE \sim_f -(d-1)bE \sim_f K_X + (n - 2 + d - 1 + \frac{1}{b})L$$

and hence, by the Relative Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing, we have

$$0 \to f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)bE) \xrightarrow{\cdot x_n} f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-dbE) \to f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-dbE) \to 0.$$

By induction on n

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-dbE) = (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{s_{n-1}} : s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n-1} bs_j \geq db)$$

where $s_j \in \mathbb{N}$. We do an induction also on $d \geq 0$, so we can assume that

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)bE) = (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n} bs_j \geq (d-1)b),$$

the case $d = 0$ being trivial. We have to prove that for any monomial $g = x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}$, $g \in f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-dbE)$ if and only if

$$s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n} bs_j \geq db;$$

the non-monomial case follows then immediately.

If $s_n \geq 1$ then g comes from $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)dE)$ by the multiplication by x_n and so

$$s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n} s_j b \geq db.$$

Otherwise the image of g in $f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-bdE)$ satisfies

$$s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{n-1} s_j b \geq db$$

and we are done.

Note that by Lemma 3.7 a blow-up of this type has terminal singularities and so all these cases are indeed possible.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 needs some preliminary lemmata.
Let R be an extremal ray in $\overline{NE(X)}_{(K_X+rL<0)}$ where r is non-negative rational number and let $f : X \to Z$ be its associated contraction.
We assume that f is a divisorial contraction to a subvariety $C \subset Z$ such that any fibre of f has dimension less or equal to $r+1$.
Since f is elementary, i.e. it contracts a single ray, we know that the exceptional divisor E is irreducible.
We now fix a nontrivial fibre F and, using the notation developed in section 2, we assume that $f : X \to Z$ is a local contraction around F, supported by $K_X + \tau L$, where τ is the nef value. By Theorem 2.4 we have that $\dim F \geq \tau > r$.
The following is the local version of Theorem 1.2 around a generic fibre.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f : X \to Z$ be a local contraction around F supported by $K_X+\tau L$, where X is terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, L is an ample Cartier divisor, $\tau > r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $r \geq 0$, and f is birational contracting a divisor E to a subvariety $C \subset Z$. Assume that any nontrivial fibre of f has dimension less or equal to $r+1$ and let F be a generic fibre. Then $f(F)$ is a smooth point and, possibly shrinking Z to a smaller affine neighbourhood of $f(F)$ and choosing appropriate coordinates, $C = \{x_1 = ... = x_{\lfloor r \rfloor +2} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n = Z$ and f is a weighted blow-up along C with weight $(1, 1, b, \dots, b, 0 \dots, 0)$, where b is a positive integer and the number of b's is $\lfloor r \rfloor$.*

Proof. Let us denote for brevity $r' := \lfloor r \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the assumption $\dim F = r' + 1$ and therefore $\dim C = n - r' - 2$.
For $j = 1, \dots, n-r'-2$ let $X_j \subset X$ be a nontrivial divisor defined as $X_j := f^*(h_j)$, where h_j is a general function on Z as in Theorem 2.2. Setting $X'' = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-r+2} X_j$ we have that $f" := f_{|X"}$ is a local contraction supported by $K_{X"} + \tau L_{X"}$, it is birational, it contracts a divisor to a point and $\tau > r' = \dim X" - 2$. By Theorem 1.1 $f"$ is a weighted blow-up of a smooth point with weight $(1, 1, b, \dots, b)$, where b is a positive integer. Therefore $Z'' = f"(X'')$ is smooth; since Z'' is an intersection of Cartier divisors in Z we conclude that we may assume Z smooth, $Z = \mathbb{C}^n$.

The proof is by induction on the dimension of F, i.e. on $\dim F = r' + 1$; for this we will apply a horizontal slicing argument as in Theorem 2.3.
The first step is $\dim F = 1$. Since X has at most terminal singularities, which are in codimension 3, the general fibre is contained in the smooth locus of X. Therefore X is a smooth blow-up (see for instance Corollary 4.11 in [AW93]): i.e. f is the

blow-up of $C = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\} \subset Z$ with with weights $(1, 1, 0 \ldots, 0)$; in particular we have that

$$K_X = f^*(K_Z) + E.$$

We now deal with the general case, $\dim F = r' + 1 \geq 2$. Let $X' \in |L|$ be general; by Theorem 2.5 it has terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities. Consider the contraction $f' := f_{|X'} : X' \to Z'$; it is a divisorial contraction supported by $K_{X'} + (\tau - 1)L_{|X'}$ with fibres of dimension equal to r'. We have already proved that we may assume $Z = \mathbb{C}^n$ and that Z' is smooth, therefore, by induction, we may assume $C = \{x_1 = \ldots = x_{r'+2} = 0\} \subset Z' = \{x_{r'+2} = 0\}$ and that f' is the smooth blow-up along C.

Let L_1 be the Cartier divisor f_*L; we have $L = f^*L_1 - bE$ for a positive integer b and bE is a Cartier divisor.
By horizontal slicing and by induction on r' we get the general formulae

$$K_X = f^*(K_Z) + (r'b + 1)E \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = r' + \frac{1}{b}.$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_X(-bE)$ is a f-ample invertible sheaf we have

$$X = \mathrm{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \oplus_{d \geq 0} I_d,$$

where $I_d := f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(-dbE))$.

The inductive step is now like the one of Theorem 1.1; by Proposition 3.3 we need to prove that

$$I_d = (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{r'+2} s_j b \geq db).$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-L - dbE) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-dbE) \to \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-dbE) \to 0.$$

Note that

$$-L - dbE \sim_f K_X + (r' + (d-1) + \frac{1}{b})L$$

and hence, by the Relative Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing, we have

$$0 \to f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)bE) \overset{\cdot x_{r'+2}}{\to} f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-dbE) \to f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-dbE) \to 0.$$

By induction on r' we have

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-dbE) = (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_{r'+1}^{s_{r'+1}} x_{r'+3}^{s_{r'+3}} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{r'+1} s_j b \geq db)$$

where $s_j \in \mathbb{N}$. By induction on $d \geq 0$, we can assume that

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)bE) = (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{r'+2} s_j b \geq (d-1)b),$$

being the case $d = 0$ trivial.
We have to prove that for any monomial $g = x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}$, $g \in f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-dbE)$ if and only if

$$s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{r'+2} s_j b \geq db,$$

the non-monomial case will follow immediately.
If $s_{r'+2} \geq 1$ then g comes from $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-(d-1)dE)$ by the multiplication by $x_{r'+2}$ and so

$$s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{r'+2} s_j b \geq db,$$

otherwise the image of g in $f_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-bdE)$ satisfies

$$s_1 + s_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{r'+1} s_j b \geq db$$

and we are done.

□

We recall, without proof, two basic observations.

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a normal variety, $S \subset X$ a closed subset of codimension at least 2 and $i : X' = X - S \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion. Consider an ideal sheaf on X', $I' \subset \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ and let $I := i_*I' \subset \mathcal{O}_X$; I is the maximum ideal sheaf of O_X such that $I_{|X'} = I'$. Suppose also that for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $I'^t = I'^{(t)}$.*
Then

$$i_*(I'^t) = i_*((I_{|X'})^t) = I^{(t)}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f : U \to V$ a proper morphism. Let $S \subset V$ be a closed subset such that the codimension of $f^{-1}(S)$ in U is everywhere at least two. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf that satisfies Serre's condition S_2 (e.g. U is normal and $\mathcal{F}$ is reflexive). Then $f_*\mathcal{F} = i_*(f_*\mathcal{F}_{|V-S})$, where $i : V - S \to V$ is the injection.*

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2; we proceed as in [[KM92] Theorem 4.9].
By Lemma 4.1 $C = f(E)$ is a subvariety such that $C \cap \mathrm{Sing}(Z) \subsetneq C$; moreover since Z has terminal singularities its singular locus has codimension at least three. Therefore we can find a codimension three closed subset $S \subset Z$ such that $Z' = Z - S$ is smooth and $f^{-1}(S)$ has codimension at least two in X. Moreover by Lemma 4.1 $X' = X - f^{-1}(S)$ is a weighted blow-up of Z' along $C' = C - S$ with weight $(1, 1, b, \ldots, b, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.
Let I' be an associate weighted ideal for Z' in X' and set $I = i_*(I')$, where $i : Z' \to Z$ is the inclusion.
By [EGA II] we have that $X = \mathrm{Proj}_X \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-mbE)$, so we have to prove that

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-mbE) = I^{(m)}.$$

This is clear from the next three equalities:

$$f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(-mbE))_{|Z'} = (I_{|Z'})^m,$$

which follows from Lemma 3.5.

$$i_*((I^{(m)})_{|Z'}) = I^{(m)},$$

which follows from Lemma 4.2, using the fact proved in Lemma 3.9 that $(I_{|Z'})^m = (I_{|Z'})^{(m)} = (I^{(m)})_{|Z'}$.

$$i_*(f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(-mbE))_{|Z'}) = f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(-mbE)).$$

which follows from Lemma 4.3.

References

[An95] M. Andreatta. Some remarks on the study of good contractions, *Manuscripta Math.*, volume 87, 1995, 359–367.

[An11] M. Andreatta. Minimal Model Program with scaling and adjunction theory. arXiv:1107.4878v1 [math.AG]

[AW93] M. Andreatta , J. A. Wiśniewski. A note on nonvanishing and applications, *Duke Math. J.*, volume 72 n. 3, 1993, 739–755.

[AM69] M. F. Atiyah, I. G. Macdonald. Introduction to commutative algebra, *Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills*, Ont. 1969 ix+128 pp.

[BS95] M. Beltrametti, A.J. Sommese. *The Adjunction Theory of Complex Projective Varieties*, volume 16 of *Expositions in Mathematics*, De Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1995.

[EGA II] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, No. 8 1961 222 pp.

[Ha09] T. Hayakawa. Blowing ups of 3-dimensional terminal singularities, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 35 (1999), 515–570.

[Ka01] M. Kawakita. Divisorial contractions in dimension three which contract divisors to smooth points, *Invent. Math.* vol. 145, no. 1, 2001, 105–119.

[KM92] János Kollár, Shigefumi Mori. Classification of three-dimensional flips. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1992), no. 3, 533-703. 14E30 (14B07 14E05 14E35 14J30)

[KM98] János Kollár, Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.

[Me97] Mella, Massimiliano. Adjunction theory on terminal varieties. Complex analysis and geometry (Trento, 1995), 153-164, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 366, Longman, Harlow, 1997.

[Mo82] Mori, Sighefumi. Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective, *Annals of Math*, vol. 116, 1982, 133–176.

[Mo88] Mori, Sighefumi. Flip theorem and the existence of minimal models for 3-folds, *Jour. AMS*, vol. 1, 1998, 117–253.

[Re87] M. Reid. Young person's guide to canonical singularities. Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), 345-414, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 46, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Trento, I-38123 Povo (TN)
E-mail address: `marco.andreatta@unitn.it, luca.tasin@unitn.it`